

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,793	06/28/2001	Robert D. Bushey	10004829-1	8285
75	90 09/28/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SINGH, DALIP K	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		2676		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/896,793	BUSHEY, ROBERT	D.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dalip K Singh	2676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	lress
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avertinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which it (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	311011 111
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appoint originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension of Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the last office action dated June 8, 2004 is clear in providing the motivation to combine Hong-Nally reference. Such combination provides for flexibility and efficient processing of graphics object data. The office action also cites relevent col and line information (see col. 4, lines 23-35. Applicant's assertion that it is improper motivation is not persuasive. Hong shows two pipelines namely a graphics and a bit map image pipeline with a plurality of stages (see Fig. 3, col. 7, lines 65-67; col. 8, lines 1-17; Hong). It is very clearly pointed out what Hong is deficient in i.e., a selectively configurable interconnection matrix which is supported by secondary reference Nally et al..

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Marker C. Bella

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600